

The Validity and Soundness of Arguments

Torben Amtoft
Kansas State University

Introduction

Atomic Sentences (summary)

Logical Consequence

Demonstrating Non-consequence

Road Map

Two **main aims** of book (p.2):

1. help you learn language of **first-order logic (FOL)**
2. help you learn notion of **logical consequence**

Road Map

Two **main aims** of book (p.2):

1. help you learn language of **first-order logic** (FOL)
2. help you learn notion of **logical consequence**
 - ▶ Chapter 1 takes the first step of (1)
 - ▶ Chapter 2 takes the first step of (2)

Atomic Sentences

A **term** t is built from **constants** and **function** symbols:

`father(father(max))`

An **atomic sentence** is a **predicate** applied to some terms:

`Older(father(max), max)`

Atomic Sentences

A **term** t is built from **constants** and **function** symbols:

`father(father(max))`

An **atomic sentence** is a **predicate** applied to some terms:

`Older(father(max), max)`

	functions	predicates
result is	object	truth value
spelling is	lower case	capitalized
can be nested?	yes	no

Example Worlds

	constants	functions		predicates	
		arity 1	arity 2	arity 1	arity 2
Arithmetic	0,1,2,...	sin, cos	+,-	<	
Family	max, claire	father		Pet	Older
Tarski's World	a, b, ...			Cube	LeftOf

- ▶ many functions and predicates with arity 2 are written infix:
 $x + y$, $x < y$, $x = y$
- ▶ functions can be added to Tarski's world
 (p.33, and homework exercises 1.13 & 1.14)
- ▶ The **identity predicate** “=” is relevant in all worlds!

Motivation

An **argument** is **not** two persons arguing back and forth, but *one person presenting a series of statements in which one, the **conclusion**, is meant to be a consequence of the others, called the **premises**.*

Motivation

An **argument** is **not** two persons arguing back and forth, but *one person presenting a series of statements in which one, the **conclusion**, is meant to be a consequence of the others, called the **premises**.*

Premises	a is larger than b
	b is larger than c
<hr/>	
Conclusion	a is larger than c

Motivation

An **argument** is **not** two persons arguing back and forth, but *one person presenting a series of statements in which one, the **conclusion**, is meant to be a consequence of the others, called the **premises**.*

Premises	a is larger than b
	b is larger than c
<hr/>	
Conclusion	a is larger than c

Fitch format

	a is larger than b
	b is larger than c
	<hr/>
	a is larger than c

Valid and Sound Arguments

Socrates is a man
All men are mortal

Socrates is mortal

Valid and Sound Arguments

Socrates is a man
All men are mortal

Socrates is mortal

This classical argument is

- ▶ **valid**: it is not possible for the conclusion to be false if the premises are true.

Valid and Sound Arguments

Socrates is a man **true** (history)

All men are mortal **true** (biology)

Socrates is mortal

This classical argument is

- ▶ **valid**: it is not possible for the conclusion to be false if the premises are true.
- ▶ **sound**: it is valid, and its premises are true.

Valid and Sound Arguments

Socrates is a man	true	(history)
All men are mortal	true	(biology)
Socrates is mortal	true	(history: hemlock, 399 BC)

This classical argument is

- ▶ **valid**: it is not possible for the conclusion to be false if the premises are true.
- ▶ **sound**: it is valid, and its premises are true.
(so also its conclusion is true)

Unsound Arguments

Scruffy is a man
All men are mortal
Scruffy is mortal

This argument is

- ▶ **valid**, as same structure as the previous argument

Unsound Arguments

Scruffy is a man	false
All men are mortal	true
Scruffy is mortal	true

This argument is

- ▶ **valid**, as same structure as the previous argument
- ▶ **unsound**, since Scruffy is a cat
(conclusion happens to be true)

Unsound Arguments

Scruffy is a man	false
All men are mortal	true
Scruffy is mortal	true

This argument is

- ▶ **valid**, as same structure as the previous argument
- ▶ **unsound**, since Scruffy is a cat
(conclusion happens to be true)

Red Sox win the World Series each year
Red Sox will win the 2004 World Series

Unsound Arguments

Scruffy is a man	false
All men are mortal	true
Scruffy is mortal	true

This argument is

- ▶ **valid**, as same structure as the previous argument
- ▶ **unsound**, since Scruffy is a cat
(conclusion happens to be true)

Red Sox win the World Series each year	false
Red Sox will win the 2004 World Series	?

This is also **valid**, but, alas, **not sound**.

Invalid Arguments

Socrates is mortal
All men are mortal
Socrates is a man

This argument has a **different** structure than what we have seen, and is **invalid**.

Invalid Arguments

Socrates is mortal	true
All men are mortal	true
Socrates is a man	false

This argument has a **different** structure than what we have seen, and is **invalid**.

Counterexample: Socrates **might** be a dog

Invalid Arguments

Socrates is mortal
All men are mortal
Socrates is a man

This argument has a **different** structure than what we have seen, and is **invalid**.

Counterexample: Socrates **might** be a dog

To decide whether an argument is

- ▶ **valid:** it is sufficient to examine the **structure** of the argument
- ▶ **sound:** we must examine history, biology, baseball, etc.

Therefore the focus of logic, and this course, is on **validity** of argument, rather than on **soundness**.

Counterexamples (Section 2.5)

Given a purported argument, a **counterexample** is

- ▶ a world where the premises are **true** but the conclusion is **false**
- ▶ enough to show that the argument is **invalid**: the conclusion does *not* follow from the premises (is **non sequitur**).

Counterexamples (Section 2.5)

Given a purported argument, a **counterexample** is

- ▶ a world where the premises are **true** but the conclusion is **false**
- ▶ enough to show that the argument is **invalid**: the conclusion does *not* follow from the premises (is **non sequitur**).

Mrs. Smith was stabbed in her bedroom

All doors and windows were locked

Only Mr. Smith and the butler were in the house

Mr. Smith stabbed his wife

Counterexamples (Section 2.5)

Given a purported argument, a **counterexample** is

- ▶ a world where the premises are **true** but the conclusion is **false**
- ▶ enough to show that the argument is **invalid**: the conclusion does *not* follow from the premises (is **non sequitur**).

Mrs. Smith was stabbed in her bedroom

All doors and windows were locked

Only Mr. Smith and the butler were in the house

Mr. Smith stabbed his wife **the butler did it**

Counterexamples (Section 2.5)

Given a purported argument, a **counterexample** is

- ▶ a world where the premises are **true** but the conclusion is **false**
- ▶ enough to show that the argument is **invalid**: the conclusion does *not* follow from the premises (is **non sequitur**).

Mrs. Smith was stabbed in her bedroom

All doors and windows were locked

Only Mr. Smith and the butler were in the house

Mr. Smith stabbed his wife **the butler did it**

Atta was in Virginia on April 4, 2001

Atta was in Florida on April 11, 2001

Atta cannot have met with Iraqi agents in Prague on April 8, 2001

Counterexamples (Section 2.5)

Given a purported argument, a **counterexample** is

- ▶ a world where the premises are **true** but the conclusion is **false**
- ▶ enough to show that the argument is **invalid**: the conclusion does *not* follow from the premises (is **non sequitur**).

Mrs. Smith was stabbed in her bedroom

All doors and windows were locked

Only Mr. Smith and the butler were in the house

Mr. Smith stabbed his wife **the butler did it**

Atta was in Virginia on April 4, 2001

Atta was in Florida on April 11, 2001

Atta cannot have met with Iraqi agents in Prague on April 8, 2001

Atta took an airplane...

Submitting Counterexamples

In homeworks, you'll often be given an argument and asked to submit a world that serves as a counterexample.

LeftOf(a,b)
SameSize(b,c)
LeftOf(a,c)

Submitting Counterexamples

In homeworks, you'll often be given an argument and asked to submit a world that serves as a counterexample.

LeftOf(a,b)
SameSize(b,c)
LeftOf(a,c)

Counterexample: a world with only small cubes, arranged like

c a b

Submitting Counterexamples

In homeworks, you'll often be given an argument and asked to submit a world that serves as a counterexample.

LeftOf(a,b)	
SameSize(b,c)	
LeftOf(a,c)	

Counterexample: a world with only small cubes, arranged like

c a b

LeftOf(a,b)	
b = c	
LeftOf(a,c)	

Counterexample:

Submitting Counterexamples

In homeworks, you'll often be given an argument and asked to submit a world that serves as a counterexample.

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{LeftOf}(a,b) \\ \text{SameSize}(b,c) \\ \hline \text{LeftOf}(a,c) \end{array}$$

Counterexample: a world with only small cubes, arranged like

c a b

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{LeftOf}(a,b) \\ b = c \\ \hline \text{LeftOf}(a,c) \end{array}$$

Counterexample: none, as argument is **valid**