

OWL Syntax and Relation to RDF

Pascal Hitzler

Kno.e.sis Center Wright State University, Dayton, OH http://www.knoesis.org/pascal/





Textbook



Pascal Hitzler, Markus Krötzsch, Sebastian Rudolph

Foundations of Semantic Web Technologies

Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2010

Choice Magazine Outstanding Academic Title 2010 (one out of seven in Information & Computer Science)



Markus Krötzsch Sebastian Rudolph

CRC Press

http://www.semantic-web-book.org





Pascal Hitzler, Markus Krötzsch, Sebastian Rudolph

语义Web技术基础

Tsinghua University Press (清华大学出版社), 2013.

Translators:

Yong Yu, Haofeng Wang, Guilin Qi (俞勇,王昊奋,漆桂林)

http://www.semantic-web-book.org



Semantic Web journal



- EiCs: Pascal Hitzler Krzysztof Janowicz
- New journal with significant initial uptake.
- We very much welcome contributions at the "rim" of traditional Semantic Web research – e.g., work which is strongly inspired by a different field.
- Non-standard (open & transparent) review process.



http://www.semantic-web-journal.net/



The Kno.e.sis Center and My Lab



- Ohio Center of Excellence in Knowledge-enabled Computing Director: Amit Sheth
- 15 faculty (8 in Computer Science) across 4 Departments, with ca. 50 PhD students
- Knowledge Engineering Lab (since January 2010) Led by myself
 - Currently 8 PhD students 2 Master students 3 undergrads

http://www.knoesis.org/







- 1. Microsoft
- 2. Yahoo Research Labs
- 3. IBM
- 4. Stanford University
- 5. Google. Inc.
- 6. University of Southampton Carnegie Mellon University VU University of Amsterdam Wright State University
- 7. Chinese Academy of Sciences Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

In World Wide Web research



out of 2,507 organizations world-wide, Wright State ranked 6th in January 2013



The Semantic Web Stack









Contents:

- Overview
- RDF Syntax in Detail
- Other Syntaxes and OWL Variants





- RDF/XML Syntax
 - The only *normative* syntax (i.e. to be OWL 2 compliant, a tool has to support this (and only this) syntax.
- Turtle Syntax
 - Straightforward Turtle version of the RDF/XML Syntax.
 - We will cover the RDF Syntax using Turtle or RDF/XML.
- Functional Style Syntax
 - Prefix-syntax, given as formal grammar
 - Clean, adjustable, modifiable, easily parsable
 - Used for *defining* OWL 2 in the W3C Specs.
- Manchester Syntax
 - User-friendly(?) syntax, used e.g. in Protégé 4
- OWL/XML Syntax
 - Notational variant of the Functional Style Syntax.
 - Does not use RDF triples, but simply XML tree structure.





Many examples, translated into all syntaxes:

Pascal Hitzler, Markus Krötzsch, Bijan Parsia, Peter F. Patel-Schneider, Sebastian Rudolph OWL 2 Web Ontology Language: Primer (Second Edition. W3C Recommendation, 11 December 2012. http://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-primer/



The RDFS perspective

- :mary rdf:type :Person .
- :Mother rdfs:subClassOf :Woman .
- :john :hasWife :Mary .
- :hasWife rdfs:subPropertyOf :hasSpouse
- :hasWife rdfs:range :Woman .
- :hasWife rdfs:domain :Man .

- owl:Thing
- owl:Nothing
- owl:topProperty
- owl:bottomProperty

- Person(mary)
- Mother \sqsubseteq Woman
- hasWife(john,mary)
- hasWife ⊑ hasSpouse

- ⊤ ⊑ ∀hasWife.Woman
- T ⊑ ∀hasWife .Man or ∃hasWife.T ⊑ Man

August 2013 – ICCL Summer School Dresden – Pascal Hitzler

owl namespace:

http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#

U

2





- ABox assignments of individuals to classes or properties
- ALC: <u>□</u>, ≡ for classes
 □, □, ¬, ∃, ∀
 ⊤, ⊥
- SR: + property chains, property characteristics, role hierarchies ⊑
- SRO: + nominals {o}
- SROI: + inverse properties
- SROIQ: + qualified cardinality constraints
- SROIQ(D): + datatypes (including facets)
- + top and bottom roles (for objects and datatypes)
- + disjoint properties
- + Self
- + Keys (not in SROIQ(D), but in OWL)





• How do you put SROIQ(D) axioms like

 $\texttt{Orphan} \sqsubseteq \texttt{Human} \sqcap \forall \texttt{hasParent.} \neg \texttt{Alive}$

into a graph structure?

- How do you do it such that the RDF Schema semantics and the DL semantics are not violated?
- How do you do it without violating the main conceptual ideas behind RDF and DLs?
- That's actually impossible without violating either RDF or DL. We have to do some approximations, and accept that the layering cannot be perfect.





Contents:

- Overview
- RDF Syntax in Detail
- Other Syntaxes and OWL Variants









RDF Syntax





 You only need to know the OWL vocabulary to use and some constructs need some design decisions, which are sometimes almost arbitrary.



lacksquare

RDF Semantics?



 You get all kinds of entailments which are entirely irrelevant for the OWL knowledge base.



- owl:complementOf rdf:type rdf:Property .
- _:x5 owl:complementOf _:xyz .
- owl:Restriction rdf:type rdfs:Class.
- :hasParent rdf:type rdfs:Resource.
- owl:Restriction rdfs:subClassOf rdfs:Resource.
- owl:Restriction rdfs:subClassOf owl:Restriction .



OWL RDF Syntax: Individuals



- :Mary rdf:type :Woman
- :John :hasWife :Mary .
- :John owl:differentFrom :Bill . {John} □ {Bill} ⊑⊥
- :James owl:sameAs :Jim.

 ${John} \equiv {Jim}$

- :John :hasAge "51" ^ xsd:nonNegativeInteger .
- [] rdf:type owl:NegativePropertyAssertion ;
 owl:sourceIndividual :Bill ;
 owl:assertionProperty :hasWife ; -hasWife(Bill,Mary)
 owl:targetIndividual :Mary .
- [] rdf:type owl:Negat owl:sourceIndividual :Jack ; owl:assertionProperty :hasAge ; owl:targetValue 53 .
- owl:NegativePropertyAssertion ;
 :Jack ;
 :hasAge ;
 53 .



OWL RDF Syntax: Classes + Properties

:Woman rdfs:subClassOf :Person .

:Person owl:equivalentClass :Human .

[] rdf:type owl:AllDisjointClasses ;
 owl:members (:Woman :Man).



:hasWife rdfs:subPropertyOf :hasSpouse .

:hasWife rdfs:domain :Man ;
 rdfs:range :Woman .



OWL RDF Syntax: Complex Classes



```
:Mother owl:equivalentClass [
                                       Mother \equiv Woman \sqcap Parent
             owl:Class ;
   rdf:type
   owl:intersectionOf ( :Woman :Parent )
  :Parent owl:equivalentClass [
                                       Parent \equiv Mother \sqcup Father
    rdf:type owl:Class ;
    owl:unionOf ( :Mother :Father )
:ChildlessPerson owl:equivalentClass [
 rdf:type owl:Class ;
 owl:intersectionOf ( :Person [ owl:complementOf :Parent ] )
                              ChildlessPerson \equiv Person \sqcap \neg Parent
  :Grandfather rdfs:subClassOf
   rdf:type owl:Class ;
   owl:intersectionOf (:Man :Parent)
```











```
:Orphan owl:equivalentClass [
rdf:type owl:Restriction ;
owl:onProperty [ owl:inverseOf :hasChild ] ;
owl:allValuesFrom :Dead
```







```
:JohnsChildren owl:equivalentClass [
rdf:type owl:Restriction;
owl:onProperty :hasParent;
owl:hasValue :John
].
```

JohnsChildren $\equiv \exists$ hasParent.{John}

```
:NarcisticPerson owl:equivalentClass [
  rdf:type owl:Restriction ;
  owl:onProperty :loves ;
  owl:hasSelf "true"^^xsd:boolean .
] .
NarcisticPerson = ∃loves.Self
```



WRIGHT STATE



≤4 hasChild.Parent (John) :John rdf:type rdf:type owl:Restriction ; "4"^^xsd:nonNegativeInteger; owl:maxQualifiedCardinality :hasChild ; owl:onProperty owl:onClass :Parent :John rdf:type owl:Restriction ; rdf:type owl:minQualifiedCardinality "2"^^xsd:nonNegativeInteger; :hasChild ; owl:onProperty owl:onClass :Parent **2** hasChild.Parent (John) :John rdf:type rdf:type owl:Restriction ; "3"^^xsd:nonNegativeInteger ; owl:qualifiedCardinality owl:onProperty :hasChild ; owl:onClass :Parent =3 hasChild.Parent (John) August 2013 – ICCL Summer School Dresden – Pascal Hitzler



:John rdf:type [rdf:type owl:Restr owl:cardinality "5"^^xsd: owl:onProperty :hasChild

owl:Restriction ; "5"^^xsd:nonNegativeInteger ; :hasChild

=5 hasChild.⊤ (John)

```
:MyBirthdayGuests owl:equivalentClass (
rdf:type owl:Class;
owl:oneOf (:Bill :John :Mary)
```

 $MyBirthdayGuests \equiv \{Bill, John, Mary\}$



OWL RDF Syntax: Properties



:hasParent owl:inverseOf :hasChild .





OWL RDF Syntax: Properties



:hasGrandparent owl:propertyChainAxiom (:hasParent :hasParent).

hasParent \circ hasParent \sqsubseteq hasGrandParent

:Person owl:hasKey (:hasSSN) .

In OWL 2 a collection of (data or object) properties can be assigned as a key to a class expression. This means that each named instance of the class expression is uniquely identified by the set of values which these properties attain in relation to the instance.



OWL RDF Syntax: Datatypes



```
[ rdf:type rdfs:Datatype;
 owl:intersectionOf (
     :personAge
     [ rdf:type rdfs:Datatype;
```

```
owl:datatypeComplementOf :minorAge ]
```

```
:toddlerAge owl:equivalentClass
 [ rdf:type rdfs:Datatype;
 owl:oneOf ( "1"^^xsd:integer
```

```
"2"^^xsd:integer )
```

```
August 2013 – ICCL Summer School Dresden – Pascal Hitzler
```

Essential OWL Features



Feature	Related OWL vocabulary	FOL	DL
top/bottom class	owl:Thing/owl:Nothing	(axiomatise)	\top/\bot
Class intersection	owl:intersectionOf	٨	П
Class union	owl:unionOf	V	Ш
Class complement	owl:complementOf	-	7
Enumerated class	owl:oneOf	(ax. with ≈)	{a}
Property restrictions	owl:onProperty		
Existential	owl:someValueFrom	∃у	∃R.C
Universal	owl:allValuesFrom	∀y	∀R.C
Min. cardinality	owl:minQualifiedCardinality owl:onClass	∃y1yn	≥n S.C
Max. cardinality	owl:maxQualifiedCardinality owl:onClass	∀y1yn+1. →	≤n S.C
Local reflexivity	owl:hasSelf	R(x,x)	BR.Self



Essential OWL Features



Feature	Related OWL vocabulary	DL
Property chain	owl:propertyChainAxiom	0
Inverse	owl:inverseOf	R⁻
Кеу	owl:hasKey	
Property disjointness	owl:propertyDisjointWith	Dis(R,S)
Property characteristics	rdf:type	
Symmetric	owl:SymmetricProperty	Sym(R)
Asymmetric	owl:AsymmetricProperty	Asy(R)
Reflexive	owl:ReflexiveProperty	Ref(R)
Irreflexive	owl:IrreflexiveProperty	Irr(R)
Transitivity	owl:TransitiveProperty	Tra(R)

Subclass	rdfs:subClassOf	$\forall x.C(x) \rightarrow D(x)$	C⊑D
Subproperty	rdfs:subPropertyOf	$\forall x, y. R(x, y) \rightarrow S(x, y)$	R⊑S





<http://example.com/owl/families> rdf:type owl:Ontology .

@prefix : <http://example.com/owl/families/> .
@prefix otherOnt: <http://example.org/otherOntologies/families/> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .

<http://example.com/owl/families> owl:imports <http://example.org/otherOntologies/families/>





@prefix : <http://example.com/owl/families/> .
@prefix otherOnt: <http://example.org/otherOntologies/families/> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .

<http://example.com/owl/families>
owl:imports <http://example.org/otherOntologies/families/>

:Mary	owl:sameAs	otherOnt:MaryBrown .	
:John	owl:sameAs	otherOnt:JohnBrown .	
:Adult	owl:equivalentClass	otherOnt:Grownup .	
:hasChild	owl:equivalentProperty	otherOnt:child .	
:hasAge	owl:equivalentProperty	otherOnt:age .	





Each class, property, or individual needs to be declared.

- :John rdf:type owl:NamedIndividual .
- :Person rdf:type owl:Class .
- :hasWife rdf:type owl:ObjectProperty .
- :hasAge rdf:type owl:DatatypeProperty .

Punning:

Same URI can stand e.g. for both an individual and a class:

- :John rdf:type :Father .
- :Father rdf:type :SocialRole .

Semantics: This is semantically interpreted as if the two occurrences of Father were in fact distinct.

Not allowed: E.g. use of a URI for both object and datatype property.





:Person rdfs:comment "Represents the set of all people."^^xsd:string .

:Man rdfs:subClassOf :Person .
[] rdf:type owl:Axiom ;
 owl:annotatedSource :Man ;
 owl:annotatedProperty rdfs:subClassOf ;
 owl:annotatedTarget :Person ;
 rdfs:comment "States that every man is a person."^^xsd:string .





Contents:

- Overview
- RDF Syntax in Detail
- Other Syntaxes and OWL Variants





ex:	speaksWith	rdf	s:domain	ex:Homo .		
ex:	Homo	rdf	s:subClassOf	ex:Primates	•	
does not RDFS-entail						
	ex:speaksWit	h :	rdfs:domain	ex:Primates		

although it is a valid OWL entailment.

It does RDFS-entail

rdfs:subClassOf rdf:type rdf:Property

which is not a valid OWL entailment.





- OWL 2 DL is the "description logic" version of OWL
 - global restrictions from SROIQ(D) apply
 - RDF can only be used in a very controlled fashion (only what is necessary for expressing OWL axioms)
 - model-theoretic semantics of SROIQ(D) is used, called OWL 2
 Direct Semantics
- OWL 2 Full is unrestricted OWL 2 DL plus all of RDF(S).
 - no global restrictions
 - RDF can be used freely
 - semantics is a hybrid of RDFS and OWL 2 DL semantics, called *RDF-Based Semantics*
- Both semantics are in the W3C recommendation. No implementations of the OWL 2 Full semantics exist (afaik).



OWL 2 Profiles



- The OWL 2 spec describes three profiles (fragments, sublanguages) which have polynomial complexity.
 - OWL EL (the description logic EL++)
 - OWL QL (the description logic DL Lite_R)
 - OWL RL (the description logic DLP)
 - inspired by intersecting OWL with Datalog
 - implemented e.g. in Oracle 11g

See course by Markus Krötzsch.



OWL Functional Syntax









```
Class: Parent
    EquivalentTo: hasChild some Person
    EquivalentTo: Mother or Father
Class: HappyPerson
  EquivalentTo: hasChild only Happy and hasChild some Happy
Class: JohnsChildren
  EquivalentTo: hasParent value John
Class: NarcisticPerson
  EquivalentTo: loves Self
Class: Orphan
  EquivalentTo: inverse hasChild only Dead
Class: Teenager
 SubClassOf: hasAge some integer[<= 13 , >= 19]
```

Class: X SubClassOf: Parent and hasChild max 1 and hasChild only Female EquivalentTo: {Mary, Bill, Meg} and Female





Individual: John
Types: Father
Types: hasChild max 4 Parent
Types: hasChild min 2 Parent
Types: hasChild exactly 3 Parent
Types: hasChild exactly 5
Facts: hasAge "51"^^xsd:integer
Facts: hasWife Mary
DifferentFrom: Bill





- Pascal Hitzler, Markus Krötzsch, Sebastian Rudolph, Foundations of Semantic Web Technologies. Textbooks in Computing, Chapman and Hall/CRC Press, 2009.
- Pascal Hitzler, Markus Krötzsch, Bijan Parsia, Peter F. Patel-Schneider, Sebastian Rudolph, OWL 2 Web Ontology Language: Primer (Second Edition). W3C Recommendation, 11 December 2012.
- Boris Motik, Peter F. Patel-Schneider, Bernardo Cuenca Grau, eds, OWL 2 Web Ontology Language: Direct Semantics (Second Edition). W3C Recommendation, 11 December 2012
- Patrick Hayes, ed., RDF Semantics. W3C Recommendation, 10 February 2004

