







Motivation	
Many specifications that people want to write can be specified, e.g., in both CTL and LTL	
Example: action Q must respond to action P	
CTL: AG(P -> AF Q) LTL: [](P -> <>Q)	
Example: action S precedes P after Q	
CTL: A[!Q W (Q & A[!P W S])] LTL: []!Q <>(Q & (!P W S))	
CIS 842: Specification Patterns	5









- Informally, a liveness property states that something good will eventually happen
- Examples
 - Termination: "the system eventually terminates"
 - Response properties: "if action X occurs then eventually action Y will occur"
- Need to keep looking for the "good thing" forever
- Liveness properties can be falsified by an infinite-suffix of an execution trace
 - Practically speaking, a Spin error trace for a liveness property is a finite list of states beginning with the initial state followed by a *cycle* showing you a loop that can cause you to get stuck and never reach the "good thing"

CIS 842: Specification Patterns









- The Manna-Pnueli classification is reasonable
- However, their classification is based on the structure of formula, and we would like to avoid having engineers begin their reasoning by reasoning about the structure of formula
- A classification based on the semantics of properties instead of syntax might be more useful for non-experts

CIS 842: Specification Patterns





















For You To Do	
Requirement 1:	
Between an enqueue(d1) and empty(true) there must be a dequeue(d1)	
Requirement 2:	
It is always the case that when the req_search_state is not enabled, then the req_close_state shall not be closed and will remain not closed until the req_search_state is enabled.	
CIS 842: Specification Patterns	23

<section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text>

For You To Do
Requirement 5:
Checkout is 0 until the Status of the book is charged or hold.
Requirement 6:
Only one of the 3-counting semaphore's four semaphore place's may be occupied at any one time.
end of "For You To Do" requirements. CIS 842: Specification Patterns 25



For You To Do (Answers) Requirement 2: *It is always the case that when the req_search_state is not enabled, then the req_close_state shall not be closed and will remain not closed until the*

req_search_state is enabled.

Answer:

Propositions: req_search_state_enabled, req_close_state_closed Pattern & Scope: "absence" pattern with "after-until" scope Property: {req_close_state_closed} is absent after {!req_search_state_enabled} until {req_search_state_enabled}

LTL: [](!req_search_state_enabled -> (!req_close_state_closed W req_search_state_enabled))

CIS 842: Specification Patterns

















Consider: {P} is universal between {Q} and {R}

Is the interval open/closed on the left/right?

LTL Mapping:

[]((Q & !R & <>R) -> (P U R))

- This requires P to occur in the same state where Q becomes true (interval is closed on left).
- This does not require P to occur in the state where R becomes true due to the semantics of the "Until" operator (interval is open on right).
 - note: P is allowed to occur when R becomes true









Response Chain	
TTL Mapping: 1-stimulus, 2-response chain	
<u>LTE Mapping.</u> I sumands, 2 response enam	
Globally: [] (P -> <>(S & o<>T))	
LTL Mapping: 2-stimulus, 1-response chain	
$Clobally = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ S & O(S & T > O(S (T & S & P)) \end{bmatrix}$	
CIS 942: Specification Batterns	10
	40





















Acknowledgements

- This paper gives an introduction to the patterns system.
 - Property Specification Patterns for Finite-state Verification, Matthew B. Dwyer, George S. Avrunin and James C. Corbett in the 2nd Workshop on Formal Methods in Software Practice, March, 1998. An <u>abstract</u> of this paper is also available.
- This paper gives a brief overview of the updated pattern system, describes the survey of property specifications, and presents the results of the survey (extracted from the raw data that is linked below).
 - Patterns in Property Specifications for Finite-state Verification, Matthew B. Dwyer, George S. Avrunin and James C. Corbett to appear in Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on Software Engineering, May, 1999. An <u>abstract</u> of this paper is also available.
- The Manna-Pnueli classification, along with a lot of good intuition about temporal logic specifications can be found in...
 - Zohar Manna and Amir Pnueli. <u>The Temporal Logic of Reactive and</u> <u>Concurrent Systems: Specification</u> Springer-Verlag, 1992.

CIS 842: Specification Patterns

51