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Overview

- High Level Goals
  - Satisfy the software engineering portfolio requirements of the MSE program.
  - Solve a technical problem at work while doing so.

- Technical Problem
  - Scalable replicated metadata caching for an enterprise scale workflow system.

- Approach
  - Distill the target system to a smaller representation of the essential transactions
  - Use the MSE Portfolio software development life-cycle to design and build the pared-down system, using the same architectural patterns and technology stack as the target system.
  - Produce a scalable replicated caching solution as part of the MSE project that can be applied to the target system.
What is workflow metadata, and why cache it?

- **Workflow metadata** describes process execution
  - Process steps, data, presentation, routing rules, etc.

- **Good candidate for caching**
  - Metadata is rarely updated in production environment
  - Metadata is constantly read
    - Data validation, rule evaluation, etc.

- **Much easier and cheaper to scale in the application tier**
  - Cheaper compute cycles in the application tier, scalable via adding boxes to load balanced server pool
  - Persistence tier scalability is expensive and complex
What is enterprise scale?

► High volumes
  ● 1050 different processes
  ● 600,000 process instances active at any given time
  ● 20% annual growth in the number of active process in a year
  ● 17% annual growth in the number of process instances in a year (and associated transactions)

► Business critical
  ● Service level agreements specify less than one hour unscheduled outage per year
Project Technology Stack

Application Layer

Process Definition Web Services
Process Execution Web Services

Infrastructure Layer

Spring Framework
Hibernate ORM
Log4J

Environment Layer

WebSphere Web Services Runtime
JBoss Cache
Oracle
WebSphere Application Server
JGroups
J2EE
Project Physical Architecture
Project Functional Scope

► Design and build a process execution engine
  ● Engine can execute simple processes based on a subset of BPMN

► Engine provides two types of services
  ● Process definition services for creating the metadata the engine uses at process execution
  ● Process execution services that use the metadata to control the execution of process instances
Project Quality Attributes

- QA1 - The solution may not degrade average response time or throughput for transactions involving cached data.
- QA2 - Functional correctness must be maintained when caching is enabled.
- QA3 - Application availability must not be compromised by the caching solution. The solution must provide the ability to survive failure of cluster members without compromising application availability or system correctness.
- QA4 - The solution shall provide a role-based security model to constrain access to the functions and data associated with the system based on roles.
- QA5 – the solution shall provide basic authentication and identity management, where users are required to authenticate prior to accessing the system, and user identities associated with one or more roles.
- QA6 – the solution must be scalable to 16 JVMs evenly distributed among 4 physically distinct servers, and reduces database requests for workflow metadata by at least 50%.
- QA7 - data access must be consistent across all JVMs serving application requests. It is unacceptable for results produced by the application to differ based on the server or JVM servicing the application request. In other words the view of the data across all cluster members must be consistent.
- QA8 - the solution must provide the ability to add and remove cluster members as needed without causing errors.
Use Cases – Process Definition
Use Cases – Process Execution

Diagram:
- Process Participant
  - Retrieve Task
    - Retrieve Task List
  - Retrieve Properties
  - Retrieve Swim Lanes
  - Update Task
    - Include
  - Instantiate Process
    - Include
  - Retrieve Process Definition
    - Include
  - Find Tasks
Project Plan – Work Breakdown Structure

Inception [1]
[dur = 65 days]

Elaboration [2]
[dur = 63 days]

Production [3]
[dur = 67 days]

I. Inception

1.1. Inception
[dur = 65 days]

2.1. Project Plan I
[dur = 3 days]

3.1. Vision Document
[dur = 2 days]

II. Elaboration

2.1. Elaboration
[dur = 63 days]

4.1. System Assessment
[dur = 15 days]

5.1. Component Design
[dur = 15 days]

6.1. Project Plan II
[dur = 3 days]

7.1. Project Website
[dur = 4 days]

III. Production

3.1. Production
[dur = 67 days]

8.1. Prepare Presentation III
[dur = 2 days]

9.1. Presentation III Stakeholder Review
[dur = 0 days]

10.1. Component Design
[dur = 15 days]

11.1. SQA Plan
[dur = 3 days]

12.1. Project Plan III
[dur = 3 days]

13.1. Presentation I Action Items
[dur = 2 days]

[dur = 2 days]

15.1. Presentation I
[dur = 0 days]

16.1. Technical Inspection
[dur = 1 day]

17.1. Test Plan
[dur = 5 days]

18.1. Architecture
[dur = 10 days]
# Project Plan – Elaboration Phase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>Finish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Inception</td>
<td>65 days</td>
<td>Wed 08/13/08</td>
<td>Tue 11/11/08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Elaboration</td>
<td>63 days</td>
<td>Wed 11/12/08</td>
<td>Fri 02/06/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>Presentation Action Items</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>Wed 11/7/08</td>
<td>Thu 11/7/08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>Vision Document Update</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Fri 11/14/08</td>
<td>Tue 11/18/08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>Detailed Requirements Specification</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Fri 11/11/08</td>
<td>Tue 11/18/08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>Formal Requirements Specification</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Wed 11/11/08</td>
<td>Tue 12/02/08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>Architecture Design</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Wed 12/05/08</td>
<td>Tue 12/26/08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>Technical Inspection</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>Wed 12/11/08</td>
<td>Wed 12/11/08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.5.1</td>
<td>Inspection Checklist</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>Wed 12/11/08</td>
<td>Wed 12/11/08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.2.2</td>
<td>Inspection Records</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>Wed 12/11/08</td>
<td>Wed 12/11/08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>Test Plan</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>Thu 12/18/08</td>
<td>Wed 12/24/08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>Executable Architecture Prototype</td>
<td>30 days</td>
<td>Wed 1/22/09</td>
<td>Wed 01/22/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>Project Plan II</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Thu 01/22/09</td>
<td>Mon 01/26/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.2.1</td>
<td>Updated Cost Estimate</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>Thu 01/22/09</td>
<td>Thu 01/22/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.2.2</td>
<td>Production Phase Details</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>Fri 01/23/09</td>
<td>Mon 01/26/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>Prepare Presentation II</td>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>Tue 01/27/09</td>
<td>Fri 01/30/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>Create Slide Deck</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>Tue 01/27/09</td>
<td>Wed 01/28/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>Update Website</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>Thu 01/29/09</td>
<td>Fri 01/30/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.1.2</td>
<td>Phase 1 Stakeholder Review</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>Mon 02/02/09</td>
<td>Fri 02/06/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.11</td>
<td>Elaboration</td>
<td>6 days</td>
<td>Fri 02/06/09</td>
<td>Fri 02/13/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td>67 days</td>
<td>Fri 02/06/09</td>
<td>Tue 05/12/09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COCOMO II Selected

- Allows refinement as project proceeds
- Effort calculated at 1292 hours; project plan effort works out to 555 hours assuming 15 hours/week.
- Conclusion: variance explain by position in the ‘Cone of Uncertainty’ (or the student is doomed).

Figure from Software Estimation: Demystifying the Black Art, Steve McConnell, Microsoft Press, 2006.
SQA Plan Highlights

► Project quality must satisfy the project committee.
► Documentation set defined by MSE portfolio requirements.
► Standards
  ● Documentation standards – MSE Portfolio
► Metrics
  ● Source lines of code produced by the project
  ● Time spent during the project
► Reviews and Audits
  ● MSE Portfolio Presentations
  ● Student Technical Inspections
► Testing
  ● Unit tests using JUnit framework, Integration tests using SoapUI, Scale tests using SoapUI or JMeter (TBD)
  ● Tests results will be captured and published on the project web site
Demonstration

Goal

- Demonstrate the project is technically feasible
- Demonstrate the student is capable of doing the work

Technical success factors

- Implement web services using Hibernate with JBoss Cache integrated as a second level cache provider
- Show caching and replication of data between multiple JVMs
Action Item - Summarize